# The impact of different types of organic mulches on weed emergence

D. Jodaugienė, R. Pupalienė, M. Urbonienė, V. Pranckietis and I. Pranckietienė

Lithuanian University of Agriculture, Studentų 11, Akademija, Kauno rajonas 53076, Lithuania; e-mail: Darija.Jodaugiene@lzuu.lt

Abstract. A two – factor experiment was carried out in the Experiment Station of Lithuanian University of Agriculture in 2004 – 2005. Different organic mulches, chopped wheat straw, peat, wood chips, grass and wood chips, and wood chips which had been stored for 8 years (only in 2005) were overspead by a layer of 5 cm and 10 cm thickness. The influence of different organic mulches on weed emergence was evaluated. All organic mulches reduced weed germination. The positive effect of mulches was particularly obvious in the period of intensive germination of weeds. Straw, peat and wood chips had the strongest influence on the decrease of weed germination, however, it is important to make sure that mulches are not infected with weed seeds. Mulch of chopped grass is quick to decompose, therefore, repeated mulching is required to protect the crop from weeds.

Key wods: organic mulches, weed emergence, annual weeds, perennial weeds

## **INTRODUCTION**

Weeds remain one of the most significant agronomic problems, especially on organic farms, because weed control can only be carried out without herbicides. There is a strong interest in developing alternative methods of weed control in organic agriculture (Economou et al., 2002). Mulching as a weed control method is used in agriculture throughout the world (Gupta, 1991). Organic mulches are more popular in cropping systems, as they can suppress weeds, while at the same time reducing soil tillage for weed control, under any tillage system implemented (Bilalis et al., 2003). Residue of small grains has been shown to inhibit weed emergence and growth in cropping systems by allelopathy (Putman, et al., 1983; Blum et al., 1997). Since weed seed germination is affected by soil moisture and temperature, mulch not only suppresses weeds, but. also maintains soil moisture at higher levels compared with unmulched soil (Sharma & Achraya, 2000; Edwards et al., 2000). Crop residues overspread on soil surfaces decrease soil temperature in the hot season and maintain it in autumn (Bristow, 1988; Duppong et al., 2004).

The aim of this investigation was to evaluate the influence of different organic mulches on weed emergence.

The two – factor field experiment was carried out in the Pomological Garden of Lithuanian University of Agriculture (54°53'N, 23°50'E) in 2004–2005. The soil type: *Calc(ar)i-Endohypogleyic Luvisol*. Soil texture: medium clay loams on heavy clay loams and clays. Soil  $pH_{KCI}$ -6.3, the content of total nutrients in the soil: 141.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of phosphorus, 142.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of potassium.

Scheme of the experiment: 1) no mulching; 2) wheat straw; 3) peat; 4) wood chips; 5) grass; 6) wood chips after storing 8 years (only in 2005).

Individual plot size was  $2x6 \text{ m}^2$ , with each plot replicated 4 times. In 2004, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. variety *Baltija*, and in 2005, m.– *Allium cepa* L. variety *Stuttgarten Rysen* in rows with interlinears 0.5 m were grown in each plot.

Botanical surveys were carried out on 0.2x0.5 m areas, four in each plot, every 10 days from 06 10 until 10 10. Weed sprouts were counted and removed.

The means were compared using the least significant difference test at  $P_{(level)} < 0.05$  with SYSTAT 10 (SPSS Inc., 2000).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The investigations showed that mulching of soil with various organic mulches is particularly important in the first part of summer. In the second part of summer and in early autumn, weed emergence is weaker in comparison with that in spring and early summer, therefore, mulch has less influence. According to the data of 2004, wood chips have the longest impeding effect on weed germination (Table 1). In the plots that have been mulched with wood chips at the beginning of summer, weed biomass was established to be 5.4–11.4 times lower than that in the plots without mulching. Peat, straw and chopped grass provides different reducing impact on weed germination. Straw mulch has the most obvious reducing impact (3.5–14.1 times) on weed emergence in June.

Sampling	Factor A						
time	No mulching	Wheat straw	Peat	Wood chips	Grass		
06 10	440,6	31,2***	62,6***	38,8***	24,7***		
06 20	204,4	58,1***	44,1***	38,1***	26,2***		
06 30	233,4	45,9***	46,6***	25,9***	25,9***		
07 10	144,0	85,6***	34,1	20,9***	52,5***		
07 20	54,7	113,1	38,1	30,0**	66,2		
07 30	50,6	33,1	22,2*	11,6***	45,3		
08 10	67,5	40,9**	30,9*	23,4**	44,4**		
08 20	54,7	33,4*	20,6*	23,4**	38,4		
08 30	34,4	20,3*	18,1*	16,9**	32,2		
09 10	25,0	13,4	10,3	14,1	23,1		
09 20	5,3	5,3	7,5	6,2	18,1		
09 30	25,3	15,0	5,3***	15,0*	29,4		
10 10	25,6	20,6	5,0***	16,6	31,6		
10 20	13,4	6,6*	2,8***	8,1***	12,5		
Total	1378,9	522,5*	348,2**	289,0***	469,5**		

**Table 1.** The influence of different organic mulches on weed emergence, sprouts  $m^{-2}$  2004.

\*- 95 % probability level, \*\* - 99 % probability level, \*\*\* - 99,9 % probability level

Table 2. The influence of different organic mulches on weed emergence, sprouts m<sup>-2</sup>, 2005.

Samplin - g time	Factor A							
	No mulching	Wheat straw	Peat	Wood chips	Grass	Wood chips after storing 8 years		
06 10	207,5	4,1***	65,6***	43,5***	6,3***	43,3***		
06 20	436,3	14,1***	65,9***	56,0***	23,4***	90,4***		
06 30	95,6	22,8***	36,6***	45,9***	16,6***	57,9**		
07 10	76,3	22,5***	18,1***	38,4**	8,8***	20,8***		
07 20	25,6	15,6	24,7	29,0	5,3**	10,0*		
07 30	41,3	13,4***	5,6***	18,4***	16,7***	10,0***		
08 10	30,0	10,1***	6,3***	11,6***	7,8***	3,8***		
08 20	43,8	6,6***	5,3***	7,5***	40,3	9,5***		
08 30	66,3	11,9***	14,0***	11,6***	56,3	14,6***		
09 10	31,0	6,6**	11,0**	4,7***	30,0	7,1**		
09 20	77,5	26,3**	17,8***	20,3***	84,7	17,0***		
09 30	126,3	19,1*	82,2	9,4**	209,4	5,8**		
10 10	95,6	22,8	31,3	7,5	158,1	14,2		
Total	1353,1	195,8*	384,4**	303,8**	663,7*	304,4**		

\*- 95 % probability level, \*\* - 99 % probability level, \*\*\* - 99,9 % probability level

Later, however, after the abundant appearance of *Tripleurospermum perforatum* (Merat.) L., the seeds of which have infected the mulch, the weed biomass is higher than that in the soil without mulch (July 20). After most seeds of *Tr. perforatum* have germinated, the positive influence of mulch is apparent once again. At the beginning of summer, peat has a slightly weaker impeding effect on weed emergence (4.2–7.0 times), but is uniform during the entire investigational period. The positive effect of grass mulch is manifested at the beginning of the investigations and reduces weed germination from 17.8 to 2.7 times. Later, after decomposition of grass has started, this mulch has no important influence. In the soil mulched with various organic mulches, the number of weeds that germinate in one square meter during the entire vegetation period varies from 289.0 to 522.5. In the soil without mulch this number reaches 1378.9 sprouts. Experiments conducted in Hungary indicated that mulching with straw, grass and other materials showed good results in weed control (Radics & Bognar, 2004).

Even stronger positive influence of mulches on the decrease of weed emergence was determined in 2005. In contrast to that in previous years, straw mulch was the best to reduce weed germination, as the mulch itself was not infected with weed seeds (Table 2). A number of studies have documented that straw mulch is a good means of decreasing weed emergence (Petersen & Röver, 2005; Ramakrishna et al., 2005). Although Döring et al. (2005) stated that there was no significant effect of straw mulch on the number of weeds, they explain that it was mainly attributed to the small amounts of straw applied.

The number of weeds that germinated in the beginning of summer in mulched soils was by 30.9–50.6 times lower than that in the soils without mulch. Later, this positive influence weakened, but remained for the entire vegetation period. Peat had a similar influence to that in the previous years of investigations. Peat, both fresh and stored for 8 m, had a similar important influence on the reduction of weed germination

during the entire vegetation period. During the vegetation period, 303.8-304.4 weeds germinated in the soil covered with wood chips, while in the soil without mulch this number was larger by 4.4 times (1353.1 sprouts m<sup>-2</sup>).

The effect of chopped grass remained until the first week of August, the number of germinated weeds being significantly smaller (by 2.0–32.9 times) than that in the soil without mulch. Later, however, the weed emergence became equal and even started increasing, due to the rapid germination of *Poa annua* L., which might have infected the mulch used. During the entire vegetation period, grass mulch decreased the germination of weeds by 2.0 times in comparison to that in the soil without mulch.

## CONCLUSIONS

All organic mulches reduce weed germination. The positive effect of mulches is particularly obvious in the period of intensive emergence of weeds. Straw, peat and wood chips have the strongest influence on the decrease of weed germination, however, it is important to make sure that mulches are not infected with weed seeds.

Mulch of chopped grass is quick to decompose, therefore, repeated mulching is required to protect the crop from weeds.

#### REFERENCES

- Bilalis, D., Sidiras, N., Economou, G. & Vakali, C. 2003. Effect of Different Levels of Wheat Straw Soil Surface Coverage on Weed Flora in *Vicia faba* Crops. Agron. Crop Sci. 189, 233–241.
- Bristow, K. L. 1988. The role of mulch and it's architecture in modifying soil remperature. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* **26**, 269–280.
- Blum, U. L., King, T., Gerig, M., Lehman, M. & Wosham, A. D. 1997. Effects of clover and small grain cover crops and tillage techniques on seedling emergence of some dicotyledonous weed species. *Amer. J. Alter. Agr.* 12, 146–161.
- Döring, T., Brandt, M., Heβ, J.,. Finckh, M, Saucke, H. 2005. Effect of straw mulch on soil nitrate dynamics, weeds, yield and soil erosion in organicaly grown potates. *Field Crops Research*. ScienceDirect, 2005 02 10 (in press).
- Dupppong, L. M., Tejedor, M., Diaz, F. & Rodrigez, C. M. 2004. The effect of natural mulches on crop performance, weed suppression and biochemical constituents of Catnip and St. Jon's Wort. *Crop Sci.* 44, 861.
- Economou, G. O., Tzakou, A., Gani, A., Yannitsaros, A. & Bilalis, D. 2002. Allelopathic effect of *Conyza albida* on *Avena satyva* and *Spirodela polyrhiz*. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 188, 248– 253.
- Edwards, L., Burney, J. R., Richter, G. & MacRae, A. H. 2000. Evaluation of compost and straw mulching on soil-loss characteristics in erosion plots of potatoes in Prince Edward Island, Canada. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **81**, 217–222.
- Gupta, G. N. 1991. Effects of mulching and fertilizer application on initial development of some tree spiecies. *For. Ecol. Management* 44, 211–221.
- Petersen, J. & Röver A. 2005. Comparison of sugar beet cropping systems with ded and living mulch using a glyphosate resistant hybrid. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **191**, 1–80.
- Putman, A. R., DeFrank, J. & Barnes, J. P. 1983. Exploitation of allelophaty for weed control in annual and perennial croping systems. J. Chemistry Ecolog, 9, 1001–1010.
- Radics, L. & Bognar, E. S. 2004. Comparison of different methods of weed control in organic green bean and tomato. *Acta Hort.* 638, 189–196.

- Ramakrishna, A., Hoang Minh, Tam, Wani, S. P. & Tranh Ding, Long. 2005. Effect of mulch on soil temperature, moisture, weed infestation, and yield of groundnut in norther Vietnam. F. Crop Res. Science Direct, 2005 01 03 (in press).
- Sharma, P. K. & Achraja, C. L. Carry-over of residual soil moisture with mulching and conservation tillage practices for sowing of rainfed wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in north west India. 2000. Soil Tillage Res. **57**, 43–52.

SPSS Inc. Systat 10. Statistics I. Printed in the USA, 2000, p. 663.

Teasdale, J. R. & Mohler C. L. 1993. Light transmittance, soil temperature, and soil moisture under residue of hairy vetch and rye. *Agron. J.* **85**, 673–68.