Preliminary results of nitrogen uptake with mown grass in an apple orchard under influence of mulch and irrigation
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Abstract. Nitrogen leaching from intensive agriculture systems is one of the major contributors responsible for nitrate concentration increasing in groundwater. The aim of the study was to determine the content of total nitrogen in the orchard lawn and use this parameter as a correction factor for reduction of nitrogen fertilizers application and to include the nitrogen from mown grass into the N balance and turnover calculation. The investigation was conducted at the Latvia State Institute of Fruit–Growing, Dobele in 2009, on the basis of an existing field experiment planted in 1997 with cultivar 'Melba' (rootstock B 9). The influence of soil moisture management treatments were compared near the alleyway: control, sawdust mulch and fertigation. The alleyway was covered by grass vegetation (Lolium perenne L. and Poa pratensis L.). Total nitrogen was determined using the Kjeldahl method. The preliminary results show that the mulch used in tree strips in an apple orchard had a significantly negative influence on the concentration of nitrogen in the dry matter of mown grass of the alleyway compared with control and fertigation. Near the mulching treatment the concentration of nitrogen in dry matter of mown grass in the alleyway was 2.23%, but near the control and fertigation treatments it was 8% higher. Nitrogen concentration in the grass of the alleyway was significantly influenced by the time of grass mowing. Nitrogen uptake with mown grass biomass in the alleyway near the control in tree strips was 33.36 kg ha⁻¹, near the mulch treatment it was higher by 6%, but in fertigation treatment - by 20%.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen is one of the most important nutrients necessary for many functions of plants, e.g. shoot growth, fruit and flower bud set, and fruit size. If apple trees lack nitrogen, the shoot growth is weak, leaves become light green to yellowish-green which in turn has a negative effect on photosynthetic intensity (Cmelik et al., 2006). Nitrogen, mainly in organic matter, is also one of the preconditions for soil fertility. Lack or excess of nitrogen in the soil greatly depends on the type of farming and technologies used. It has been found that at farms where post-harvest residue remains on the field and is ploughed down, the total loss of nitrogen is significantly lower, because nitrogen returns to the soil as organic matter and will take part in the natural process of the soil cycle (Līpenīte & Kārklīņš, 2007). If the mown grass is left in the
orchard, the plant nutrients will be recycled and humus content in the soil will also be increased (Hoagland et al., 2008). This will provide several positive consequences because the buffer capacity of the soil will increase. It will reduce the possibility for nutrient leaching, especially nitrogen, improve soil aeration, and reduce the evapotranspiration, to form the more favourable physical properties. As a result it will positively influence not only the growth of apple tree roots, but also the soil microbiological processes and finally the soil fertility. This is important for many aspects of agriculture, but especially concerning sustainable farming practice and for development of organic and integrated fruit growing technologies, where use of mineral fertilizers is restricted or only minimally acceptable. The environmental and human health concerns take priority.

Grass mown in orchards can be considered as a green manure contributing to the total plant nutrient turnover. Nitrogen accumulated in the grass biomass due to the high competitive ability of cereals is not lost from the root zone. After mowing it will become the nitrogen source for the successive plants including apple trees, especially if the grass is moved to the tree strips. Therefore to plan fertilization, this amount of nitrogen might be included in calculations as an input value.

The aim of the investigation was to clarify the amount of nitrogen in grass grown in an apple orchard alleyway influenced by treatment of soil moisture management in the tree strips.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The investigation was carried out at the Latvia State Institute of Fruit–Growing, Dobele, in 2009 on the basis of an existing field experiment planted in 1997 with cultivar ‘Melba’ on the rootstock B 9 (Rubauskis et.al., 2004). The planting distance of the trees was 5 × 4 m. The canopies of trees were trained as slender spindles.

The climate was as follows in 2008: the period of vegetation, when air temperatures are 5ºC or higher was 204 days (average of long-term 135 – 145 days); the average air temperature was 8.1ºC (long-term 5.5 ºC) and amount of precipitation 531 mm (similar to long-term 560 mm), however the amount of precipitation in the vegetation period was 312 mm). Soil of the experimental plot was Haplic Luvisol (Hypereutric), sandy loam, organic matter content – 25 g kg⁻¹ (Tyurin method), pH – 6.5 (in 1 M KCl). Plant available P₂O₅ was 300 mg kg⁻¹ and K₂O – 190 mg kg⁻¹, MgO – 162 mg kg⁻¹ (DL).

The following treatments of soil moisture in the tree strips (1 m wide) were compared: control – no regulation methods; sawdust mulch and fertigation. In the mulch treatment the soil surface was covered with a 10 – 20 cm layer of sawdust, which was renewed three times every three years. In the irrigation treatment ‘Den’ type pipelines with built–in drippers spaced 0.38 cm apart were used. The irrigation provided effective moistening of a 1 m wide zone in sandy loam soil or about 25% of the orchard area. In 2009 irrigation for the trees provided an additional 353 litres of water.

In the alleyways (3 m wide) grass *Lolium perenne* L. and *Poa pratensis* L. were sown in proportion 1:3. In time, after planting, of the orchard, weeds such as white clover (*Trifolium repens.* ) and dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*) spread in the grass lawn. During the growing season, the tree strips were maintained free of the
grasses using herbicides such as basta and glifosate. The trees were provided with 9 g N and 12 g K₂O using ammonium and potassium nitrate. The grass in the alleyway was not fertilised. Grass samples were collected as the grass was mowed – 3 times during 2009, May 20, June 21 and August 11, and left on the lawn. From the beginning of the growing season up to May 19 (first grass mowing) the average air temperature was 13.6 ºC, precipitation, 9.3 mm, till June 21 – 14.8 ºC and 93 mm, but till August 11 – 18 ºC and 96 mm correspondingly. The total nitrogen was determined using the Kjeldahl method. The nutrient uptake was calculated as kilograms per hectare area.

The results of the investigation were analyzed using dispersion analysis ANOVA, as well as descriptive statistics (Descriptive statistic).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of the investigation showed that the concentration of nitrogen in the grass of the alleyways in the apple orchard was influenced by the soil moisture regulation treatments that were placed near the tree strips – sawdust mulch or fertigation (n = 54, \( P < 0.05 \)).

The highest concentration of nitrogen was in the grass samples when the fertigation treatment was applied to the tree strips, but it did not significantly differ from the concentration in the control treatment (Fig. 1).

However, when using mulch treatment in the tree strips, the nitrogen concentration in the grass of the alleyways was only 8% lower than in other places that were treated. The difference is statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \)). The significantly lower nitrogen content may be explained by the fact that the nitrogen which is used by micro–organisms during decomposition of sawdust for their life functions has not yet been fully released and the immobilization process continues. When apple cultivar ‘Melba’ on dwarf rootstock B 9 root distribution was investigated (Surikova et. al., 2008), it was noticed that roots of the grass lawn of the alleyway reached the tree strips; depending on the treatment in strips, that may explain some results of the grass investigation. It is known also that if the organic matter at the beginning of decomposition has a proportion of C/N up to 20, then mineralization exceeds immobilization, but if this proportion is more than 30, then immobilization dominates.
over mineralization (Wickramasinghe et al., 1985). In sawdust, depending on its origin (deciduous or coniferous tree species), the C/N proportion may reach as much as 400 (Shengzuo et al., 2008), so it is possible that the decaying process of the sawdust has not finished.

Nitrogen concentration in the grass of the alleyways was the lowest during the first mowing time (Fig. 2). At the second mowing tendencies were observed for the nitrogen concentration in the grass of the alleyways to increase, but no significant differences were found between the first and second time \( (P > 0.05) \). At the third grass mowing the nitrogen concentration in the grass of the alleyways of the control treatment increased by 19\%, near the mulch treatment by 23\%, but near the fertigation treatment by 25\%; the differences were significant \( (P < 0.05) \).

![Figure 2. Nitrogen concentration in grass of alleyway depending on the treatment in strips and mowing time.](image)

Yet there is not clear evidence that the nitrogen concentration in grass of the alleyways was influenced only by the mowing time. Theoretically, nitrogen concentration in plants should decrease during the growth season (Nurzinski et al., 1990), but in this study the concentration increased. The contradiction may be explained by the fact that the grass was not in the same stage of development at each mowing time. In the lawn cut on May 20 the cereal grasses were already at the beginning of bloom, while on August 11 the lawn was at a much earlier stage of development. According to several studies (Burke & Morris, 1993), the concentration of nitrogen is higher in young annual plants and plant parts. Nitrogen in plants has a high reutilisation capacity. Depending on the age of the annual plant, as much as 70–80\% of N in the plant is repeatedly utilised (Adamec, 2002). It is possible that in the control treatment (resulting from a periodic lack of moisture) the changes of N concentration and the reutilisation process were slower. This may explain the relatively high dispersion of data in the control treatment. The nitrogen concentration could also be affected by air temperature and precipitation during the growth of grass, the proportion of legumes in the grass of the alleyway, along with other factors, but at all mowing times a tendency is noted for a reducing influence of mulch on nitrogen concentration in the grass.

Although nitrogen concentration in the grass of the alleyway near the control and fertigation treatments was significantly higher than near the mulch treatment (Table 1),
The total uptake of nitrogen with mowed grass in the control treatment remained the lowest and was significantly different from nitrogen uptake near the mulch and fertigation treatments in the tree strips, where uptake was higher by 6 and 20% ($P < 0.05$). Such differences were observed because the biomass of mowed grass significantly differed among treatments near the alleyway and mowing times. Precipitation from the beginning of the growth till first mowing on May 20 was only 9.3 mm, so, in the fertigation and mulch treatments with better soil moisture, the grass alleyways biomass was bigger. Still, these results contradict findings of other researchers, that plant biomass significantly increases with the use of fertigation, not with mulch (Nicholas, 2004). The contradiction may be explained by the fact that the effect of fertigation may become evident at a later stage of the growth period. This is witnessed also by the results of the present investigation, because the effect of fertigation on grass biomass was proven only for the 2nd and 3rd mowing time. Grass mown on August 11 no longer showed significant differences among treatments ($P > 0.05$), because the amount of precipitation increased.

Table 1. Grass biomass and nitrogen uptake.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Treatment in tree strips near the alleyway</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Mulch</th>
<th>Fertigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biomass, kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>N uptake, kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Biomass, kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>N uptake, kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>282.40$^{a}$</td>
<td>6.69$^{a}$</td>
<td>365.50$^{b}$*</td>
<td>7.68$^{b}$*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>443.54$^{b}$</td>
<td>11.47$^{c}$*</td>
<td>503.39$^{c}$*</td>
<td>12.32$^{d}$*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>494.27$^{c}$</td>
<td>15.20$^{e}$*</td>
<td>503.48$^{c}$</td>
<td>15.40$^{e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per season,</td>
<td>1220.21</td>
<td>33.36</td>
<td>1372.38*</td>
<td>35.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a, b, c, d, e, f, – significantly different within columns ($P < 0.05$)
*– significantly different within rows ($P < 0.05$)

The investigations of the grass of the alleyway in orchards have not been undertaken before in Latvia, so there are no data about the rate of decomposition of mown grass and the return of nitrogen into the turnover, but researchers in other countries (Shengzuo et al., 2007; Tagliavini et al., 2007) have found that nitrogen recycles even 1–2 years after the grass has been cut. In addition, a study (Cazzato et al., 2004) shows that by throwing the mown grass into the tree strips the soil organic matter is significantly supplemented, which has a positive influence on nitrogen turnover and its availability for plants. It could be influenced also by climate differences. However results are only preliminary. They can explain only some tendencies that could be influenced by climate and other uncontrolled situations. The results of this investigation provide a basis for additional research, the results of which might make it possible to establish fertilising plans in Latvia.

CONCLUSIONS

The preliminary results show that the mulch used in tree strips in an apple orchard had a significantly negative influence on the concentration of nitrogen in the dry matter of mown grass of the alleyway as compared with control and fertigation.
Near the mulching treatment the concentration of nitrogen in dry matter of mown grass in alleyway was 2.23%, but was 8% higher near the control and fertigation treatments. Nitrogen concentration in the grass of the alleyway was significantly influenced by the time of grass mowing. Nitrogen uptake with mown grass biomass in the alleyway near the control in the tree strips was 33.36 kg ha⁻¹: near the mulch treatment it was higher by 6%, but in the fertigation treatment – by 20%.
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Amounts of nitrogen and carbon returned to soil depending on green manure and the effect on winter wheat yield
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Abstract. The trials were carried out during the 2006–08 growing seasons at the Department of Field Crop Husbandry in the Estonian University of Life Sciences. A field experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of green manure treatments on the yield and yield quality of winter wheat. The total phytomass of leguminous green manures ploughed into soil in 2007 varied from 10.3 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with the bird’s foot trefoil to 13.9 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with the white sweet clover. The root mass of legumes comprised 37–54% of the total biomass. The amount of carbon applied into the soil with the green material and roots of legumes varied from 4.43 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) to 5.98 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). The amounts of nitrogen were up to 274 kg of N ha\(^{-1}\). The highest wheat yields were attained in treatments with lucerne and red clover as preceding crops. Compared to the N\(_0\) treatment, the extra yield reached 3.26 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with green manures. Both green manures and mineral fertilizers enhanced the quality of the winter wheat yield, but the results did not vary among different green manures.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the key factors in increasing the yield and quality of crops is appropriate manuring. In the present economical situation the sales prices of crops have decreased considerably compared to previous years, whereas the prices of pesticides and fertilizers have risen, leaving the farmers with fewer financial resources. Given the increased prices of mineral fertilizers, leguminous green manure crops have become important organic fertilizers both in organic as well as traditional production due to their ability to bind air nitrogen and carry nutrients (P, K) to deeper plough layers. Biological N fixation is one of the primary sources of N in organic farming (Berry et al., 2002). Some mineral fertilizers used in agriculture can be replaced by green manuring, which reduces the cost of production (Poutala et al., 1994). A high soil N fertility, e.g. from incorporated green manure crops, imply a risk of N leaching (Askegaard et al., 2005). Organic matter content is generally regarded as one of the main indicators of soil quality (Schjønning et al., 2004). Organic matter helps to improve the humus status of soil, thus also improving the soil structure, and physical as well as hydrophysical properties. Abundant application of organic matter into soil has a positive effect on soil biota and the soil’s biological activity. Also, the nutrients released from organic matter increase the yield of succeeding crops. Beneficial effects of the preceding crop on water use efficiency and reduction in crop diseases can in some cases account for up to 50% of the yield response of the succeeding crop (Harper et al., 1995).
Green manure crops are most effective in organic farming where the main issues concern the application of nutrients into the soil and growing grains with high-quality properties. One of the major benefits of increasing the soil organic N levels through green-manure crops is an increase in the mid-growing season N mineralisation, which in most cases translates into a higher grain N content (Olesen et al., 2009). In the production of high-quality milling wheat, late manuring with nitrogen is especially important. Under good humidity conditions, the optimum period for late manuring is the heading phase. Manuring stimulates growth in protein content during this period. Nitrogen applied at a later period primarily enhances gluten content in wheat (Järvan et al., 2007). Applying the total amount of nitrogen fertilizer at the beginning of the growing period could result in superfluous vegetative growth, lodging and decrease in the yield and quality of wheat (Brown et al., 2007). With green manure, large amounts of nitrogen are applied into the soil, but nitrogen is released gradually because organic matter is decomposed over a long period of time. With green manure, crops are provided with nitrogen throughout their growing period. Research has shown that 82–84% of the red clover’s effect is realized in the first year, and 16–18% in the second year as an after-effect (Vil & Võsa, 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trials were carried out during the 2006–08 growing seasons in the Estonian University of Life Sciences, Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences (58°23'N, 26°44'E). The size of each test plot was 30 m², with 4 replications. The soil was sandy loam Stagnic Luvisol in the WRB 1998 classification. The mean characteristics of the humus horizon were as follows: C org 1.3–1.4 %, N tot 0.10–0.11%, P 3.3–3.5 mg 100g⁻¹, K 15-17 mg 100g⁻¹.

Plant analyses were conducted at both the Department of Soil Science and Agro-Chemistry of EMU and the Estonian Agricultural Research Centre laboratories. Acid digestion by sulphuric acid solution was used to determine N, P, and K content in plant material. The Dumas Combustion method was used to determine the content of carbon in the plant biomass. The crude protein (CP) concentration in feed was determined using the Kjeldahl procedure. Wet gluten content (WGC) and gluten index (GI) were determined by ISO 21415-2:2006. Yield (Y), 1000 kernel weight (TKW) and volume weight (VW) was calculated as the average of 8 replications (2 from each plot).

The preceding crop in 2005 was spring barley. The field experiment was established in 2006 using the following variants of green manure crops and fertilisation:

Variant A) spring barley (Hordeum distichon L.) with undersowings of (i) red clover (Trifolium pratense), (ii) lucerne (Medicago sativa), (iii) hybrid lucerne (Medicago media), (iv) bird’s-foot trefoil (Lotus corniculatus), (v) white sweet clover (Melilotus albus)

Variant B) spring barley with mineral fertiliser rates (i) N₀ – the control variant (ii) N₁₀₀, (with cereal sowing); the same, for 2007.

The succeeding crop winter wheat (Triticum aestivum) “Ramiro” was sown at the beginning of September 2007. The seed rate of germinating grains of cereals was 500 m⁻¹ every year. Green manure crops were sown according to the following norms: red
clover 7.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\), lucerne 6.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\), hybrid lucerne 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\), bird’s-foot trefoil 6 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and white sweet clover 18 kg ha\(^{-1}\). In 2006 barley straw was removed. In the beginning of August 2007 the biomass of legumes and barley straw were ploughed into the soil. Samples of the aboveground biomass (0.25 m\(^2\) from each plot) were taken before harvesting the cereals. The root mass was taken from 0–30 cm in depth (by 10*20 cm frame from each plot), washed, dried and weighed. Biomass from the undersowing samples was separated into leguminous and cereals.

In variants with undersowings (A) the aboveground biomass and the root mass of leguminous crops were measured before ploughing.

The vegetation period of 2006 had a high temperature regime and low precipitation. The first half of the vegetation period (up to 31 July) was very dry, with only half of the average precipitation in Estonia. In 2007, the average temperature was higher whereas the average precipitation was lower than in previous years. The drought reached its peak in August. The average temperature of the 2008 vegetation period was lower than in many previous years. Drought in spring and high average precipitation in August had an influence on the yield and quality of wheat.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate the impact of the experimental variants on the yield and yield quality.

The relationship between the C/N ratio (y) and the nitrogen content (x, %) of the organic matter is reflected in the following regression equation:

\[
y (C/N) = 42.977x^{-1.0035}, R^2 = 0.99; p < 0.000.
\]

The objectives of the trial include examining the capacity of the second vegetation year leguminous green manures to form biomass; analyzing the amount of nitrogen and carbon returned to soil, and determining the effect of these factors on the yield and quality of the succeeding crop.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In 2007 barley pure sowings, the amounts of nitrogen returned to the soil with straw and roots were 39 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 57 kg ha\(^{-1}\) on the background of N\(_0\) and N\(_{100}\) respectively. The respective amounts for carbon were 1.83 and 2.62 Mg of C ha\(^{-1}\). The phytomass returned to the soil in barley sowings was 4.26 and 6.10 Mg of dry matter ha\(^{-1}\) on the background of N\(_0\) and N\(_{100}\) respectively.

The total phytomass of leguminous green manures ploughed into soil in 2007 varied from 10.3 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with the bird’s foot trefoil to 13.9 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with the white sweet clover. The phytomass of hybrid lucerne was 12.5 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). The formation of legume mass is influenced by various factors. The trials have shown that red clover is more stable and resistant to unfavourable conditions than other legumes (Talgre, et al., 2009a, 2009b). White sweet clover and lucerne are more sensitive to climatic and agrotechnical factors.

The root mass of legumes comprised 37–54% of the total biomass. The amount of carbon applied to the soil with the green material and roots of legumes varied from 4.43 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) (bird’s foot trefoil) to 5.98 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) (white sweet clover). The amount of nitrogen returned to the soil was dependent on the leguminous crop; up to 274 kg of N ha\(^{-1}\) were applied into the soil (Fig. 1) based on the treatment. Earlier research has also
proved that leguminous crops can bind 200–300 kg of N ha\(^{-1}\) per year (Viil & Võsa, 2005; Talgre, et al., 2009b). The biological production of green manures, as well as the amounts of nitrogen they bind and the C/N ratio of organic matter vary according to the crop species, soil and farming techniques. The decomposition of organic matter in soil is largely determined by its C/N ratio. The smaller the C/N ratio of organic matter and the greater its nitrogen content, the more nitrogen is released into soil from green manure mineralisation (Kumar & Goh, 2002). The C/N ratio of the applied organic matter varied significantly. The C/N ratio of barley straw and the aboveground biomass of leguminous crops were 65–69 and 20–23 respectively.

![Figure 1. Quantities of dry matter (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) and N (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) applied into soil in 2007. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (\(P < 0.05\)).](image)

In the 2007 trial, winter wheat was sown as a succeeding crop. Despite the drought in spring, the conditions were favourable for the yield formation of winter crops, though the quality of the yield was influenced by the rainy harvesting period. Aside from weather, other factors that may influence the yield of winter wheat are crop variety and nutrient supplies. Traditionally, winter wheat is known by its higher yield potential and spring wheat by better baking quality (Swenson, 2006).

The highest wheat yields were attained in treatments with lucerne and red clover as preceding crops. Compared to the N\(_0\) treatment, the extra yield reached 3.26 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with green manures. After the use of bird’s foot trefoil, the yield was equal to the treatment in which 100 kg of mineral nitrogen had been applied (Fig. 1). Also Maiksteniene and Arlauskiene (2004) show that the highest wheat yield is attained when wheat is grown after lucerne as a preceding crop, the yield being 18.5% higher than after clover. Higher grain yields are usually associated with lower protein concentration (Blackman & Payne, 1987). Protein is a primary quality component of cereal grains. Protein content can be increased with higher nitrogen fertilizer norms (Peterson, 1976). In the present trial, protein content increased compared to the N\(_0\) treatment, but remained lower than the protein content of wheat (13–15%). The protein content of wheat grains was 11.7–12.8% on the background of green manures, and had a lower level in the treatment where hybrid lucerne and bird’s foot trefoil had been grown as preceding crops. Protein content is positively correlated with wet gluten content (Fredericson et al., 1998) which is strongly influenced by the growing
environment (Grausgruber et al., 2000). The trial also showed that the wet gluten index increased according to a rise in protein content. One of the most used criteria of wheat quality is volume weight, which is an indication of the density and soundness of the wheat. Volume weight is influenced by many factors, including fungal infection, insect damage, kernel shape and density, agronomic practice and the climatic and weather conditions (Gaines et al., 1997). In the trial, the volume weight remained low. After the application of green manures, the volume weight of all treatments was higher than the volume weight of the N₀ treatment (Table 1).

Table 1. Yield and yield quality of winter wheat Ramiro depending on preceding crop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preceding crop</th>
<th>Y (Mg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>TKW (g)</th>
<th>VW (gl⁻¹)</th>
<th>CP (%)</th>
<th>WGC (%)</th>
<th>GI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley N₀</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley N₁₀₀</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red clover</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucerne</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. lucerne</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet clover</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird’s foot trefoil</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD₀.₀₅</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

x – non-washable

Kernel weight, usually expressed in grams per 1000 kernels, is a function of kernel size and density. Kernel weight increased in all treatments compared to the N₀ treatment, but there was no plausible difference between green manure treatments.

Wet gluten, obtained by mixing flour and water, increases the volume of bread. Grains should contain at least 26% of wet gluten, with the best wet gluten content being 28–29%. Wet gluten content in grains was increased both with mineral fertilizers as well as on the background of the after-effect of green manures, but remained below the norm in all treatments. Kangor et al. (2007) have also shown that wet gluten content increases both with root as well as foliar fertilization.

Gluten index is used to measure the quality of wet gluten: the optimum index is 60–90 and the satisfactory index, 41–59. In treatments where green manures were grown as preceding crops the gluten index rose by 33–43 units as compared to the N₁₀₀ treatment. The increased gluten index is an indication of the higher quality of wet gluten and enhanced baking properties.

CONCLUSION

The biological production of green manures, as well as the amounts of nitrogen they bind and the C/N ratio of organic matter, vary according to the crop species. The highest phytomass and amount of nitrogen returned to soil was obtained by growing white sweet clover and red clover; the lowest respective results were obtained with bird’s foot trefoil. The winter wheat yield was lower after white sweet clover than after other leguminous preceding crops despite the highest amount of nitrogen applied into the soil. This is probably due to the slower decomposition of white sweet clover. The highest wheat yields were attained in treatments with lucerne and red clover as
preceding crops. Both green manures and mineral fertilizers enhanced the quality of winter wheat yield, but the results did not vary among different green manures.
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**Abstract.** This paper presents results on weed control and yield responses in winter wheat grown after winter oilseed rape and after winter wheat, using data from field trials with a range of herbicides registered for use in Latvia that were applied either in the autumn or in the spring. *Apera spica-venti* was the dominant weed in these trials, accounting for 70–80% of the total weed biomass. Spring application of herbicides did not provide good control of *Apera spica-venti* up to harvest time: the infestation at application time was more than 140 plants per m². Autumn application of appropriate herbicides gave satisfactory control of *Apera spica-venti* up to harvest time in the following year. All herbicide treatments significantly increased crop yield but the autumn applications gave significantly greater increases than nearly all spring applications.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Economic pressures have led many arable farmers in Latvia and other countries of northern Europe to adopt crop rotations based on the sequence of winter oilseed rape followed by winter wheat or successive crops of winter wheat. From 2000–2008 the areas of winter wheat and oilseed rape in Latvia increased annually: winter wheat, from 117.4 to 170.4 thousand ha; oilseed rape from 6.9 to 82.6 thousand ha. On the larger farms the main crop rotation is now based on winter wheat and winter oilseed rape.

These rotations have brought about changes in the weed flora such that *Apera spica-venti* is now an important target for control and serious yield losses have occurred in winter wheat crops where the *Apera spica-venti* has not been controlled effectively. For example, Bartels (2004) found a grain yield loss of 3 t ha⁻¹ in untreated plots which were infested with 200 *Apera spica-venti* plants m⁻² compared to treatments providing successful grass weed control. Increased infestations in winter cereals have also been reported by Danish researchers: Andreasen & Stryhn (2008) and Melander et al. (2008). In a ranking of the 15 most important weed species found in winter cereal crop systems in 26 European countries, *Apera spica-venti* was ranked fifth among all weeds and first among grasses (Schroeder et al., 1993). Weed population in treatments without herbicide application reveal the efficiency of weed management in previous years. Weed populations were more influenced by the preceding crop and by the timing of herbicide application than by the tillage system
(Streit et al., 2003). To improve the efficiency of weed control and to reduce reliance on herbicides in cropping systems with reduced tillage intensity, Streit and his co-workers stated that further research was needed to determine the beneficial effects and the optimization of crop rotation and appropriate weed control.

In recent years the average air temperatures in Latvia, during both the autumn and the spring-summer growing periods, have generally been higher than the long-term averages. These warmer temperatures have provided improved conditions for the germination and development of weeds in autumn-sown crops.

Cereals are most sensitive to competition from weeds in their early stages of growth and, especially in autumn sown crops, grass weeds can be extremely competitive in the early stages, particularly in cereals established with reduced cultivations (Tottman et al., 1982). Autumn application of herbicides will control weeds that could survive during winter to affect winter wheat growth and will provide better conditions for competition by the crop when vegetative growth begins in spring (Pilipavičius et al., 2010). Competition from autumn germinated broad-leaved weeds is generally less severe than that from grass weeds (Tottman et al., 1982). The most favourable application timing for grass weed control is between the 3-leaf stage and the beginning of stem elongation (Hacker et al., 1999). The importance of herbicides that can control grass weeds and dicotyledonous weeds by autumn application is described by Brink & Zöllkau (2004).

To control the yield-reducing weeds, wheat growers in Latvia have used a variety of herbicides applied either in autumn or in spring. During the past five years the range of new herbicides intended for autumn application has increased. This could improve the possibilities to control the weeds in the autumn and so avoid the greater influence on grain yield.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Field experiments were established in the Jelgava municipality in 2006–07 and 2007–08 in winter wheat. The evaluations of herbicide efficacy in the cereals followed EPPO Guideline PP 93 (2). Field trials were arranged in randomized blocks with 4 replicates. Plot size: 30 m² (3 m x 10 m).

In 2007 the sod-calcareous clay soil in the field had pHKCl 7.2, an organic content of 2.3%; winter wheat cultivar ‘Zentos’ was sown on 05.09.06. The previous crop was winter oilseed rape. In 2008 the sod-calcareous loamy sand soil in the field had pHKCl 6.1, an organic content of 1.8%; winter wheat cultivar ‘Tarso’ was sown on 09.09.07. The previous crop was winter wheat. In both fields minimal soil tillage was employed and a fertiliser top-dressing of 120–170 kg ha⁻¹ was applied.

The herbicides used in the trials were: Alister Grande OD 217.5 (iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium 4.5 g L⁻¹ + mesosulfuron-methyl 6 g L⁻¹ + diflufenican, 180 g L⁻¹, Bayer CropScience); Hussar Activ OD 417 (iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium 10 g L⁻¹ + 2,4-D 377 g L⁻¹ as 2-ethyl-hehyl ester, Bayer CropScience); Monitor (sulfofluran 750 g L⁻¹, Monsanto); Arrat (tritosulfuron 25% + dicamba 50%, BASF). The surfactant Kemiwett Plus (alcohol ethoxylate) was added to the tank mix of Monitor and Arrat.

Herbicide treatments were applied using a knapsack sprayer “Gloria” with flat-fan nozzles XR TEEJET 8003VS, delivering a spray volume of 300 L ha⁻¹ at a pressure of
300 kPa. Other plant protection measures were applied to the whole trial as necessary.

For the assessment for *Apera spica-venti*, the flowering panicles numbers were recorded at three random places within each plot with the aid of a 0.25 m² frame: when the growth stage of the crop was 86–87 BBCH on 25 July 2007 and 81–83 BBCH on 15 July 2008 (Tables 1, 2).

The total yield of grain from each plot was harvested by trial combine “Sampo 500”, respectively on 8 August 2007 and 30 July 2008, recalculated to t ha⁻¹ and given at 100% purity and 15% moisture content (Tables 1, 2).

To determine the relationship between the yields of winter wheat and the numbers of *Apera spica-venti*, the flowering panicles, the data from the untreated plots and the plots treated with herbicides were subject to analyses of variance and regression analysis. For statistical analysis the data were subjected to single factor analysis of variance using GenStat for Windows version 12. The treatment means were separated at the 95% probability level (LSD) using Student’s *t*-test. Significant differences are stated next to the relevant figures in the tables: treatments marked with the same letter are not significantly different.


In autumn 2006 the herbicide treatments were applied on 26 September. During the third 10-day period of September the weather was unusually warm: the air temperature was more than 3 °C above the long-term norm and without precipitation. The weather continued to be warmer than average throughout October and there was sufficient precipitation to provide very good conditions for weed growth after the autumn herbicide application (Figs 1, 2).

![Figure 1. Deviations of monthly mean temperatures from long-term monthly means during growing seasons 2006–07 and 2007–08; data from Jelgava HMS.](image)

In spring 2007 the weather around application time in the third 10-day period of April was unusually warm: the air temperature was more than 4 °C above the norm, but precipitation was only 36% of the norm for that period. Two rainy days occurred before herbicide application (on 21 and 23 April) and that provided moist soil conditions. During the remainder of the vegetative period, up to the end of July, the weather was warmer than the long term-averages with sufficient precipitation in almost all months for good growth (Figs 1, 2).

The autumn 2007 herbicide treatments were applied on 28 September. The weather during the first and second 10-day periods of September was cooler than the
long-term averages and some very rainy days occurred during the second 10-day period. Overall the daily mean temperature for the month was slightly above the long-term average and the precipitation was only 56.5% of long-term average (Figs 1, 2). Around application time in spring 2008, during the second 10-day period of April, the mean daily temperature was higher than the long-term average and there was sufficient precipitation for plant growth. Overall, the weather during the vegetative period was drier than average which affected crop and weed emergence and development unfavourably.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Total monthly precipitation as percentage of long-term monthly mean during growing seasons 2006–2007 and 2007–2008; data from Jelgava HMS.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In autumn 2006 the emergence of the wheat plants was even and the plants were well developed, at 14–15 BBCH stage, when the autumn herbicide treatment was applied. The infestation of *Apera spica-venti* within the trial was 32 plants m\(^{-2}\), up to 2 leaf stage (3–4 cm). In spring 2007 the winter wheat was at the end of the tillering stage (28–29 BBCH) when the spring herbicide treatments were applied and the density of *Apera spica-venti* in the untreated plots was 142 plants m\(^{-2}\), mostly at 8 cm in height and well developed.

In autumn 2007 the winter wheat was at the 13–14 BBCH stage when the autumn herbicide treatment was applied. The growth stage of *Apera spica-venti* was up to 2 leaf stage and the infestation was very high: more than 430 plants m\(^{-2}\). The spring herbicide treatments were applied on 16 April 2008 when the *Apera spica-venti* plants were at the tillering stage (12 cm in height) and the infestation was still very high: more than 424 plants m\(^{-2}\).

In both of these winter wheat experiments dicot weed species were also recorded; the main species were: *Viola arvensis, Thlaspi arvense* and *Centaurea cyanus* as well as volunteer oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). The biomass of the dicot weeds was only 20–30% of the total weed biomass. Most of the weed biomass was accounted for by *Apera spica-venti* and the high infestation of this grass species suppressed the growth of the dicot weeds.

The efficacy of the herbicide treatments in controlling *Apera spica-venti* was evaluated by counts of flowering panicles close to harvest time. All the herbicide treatments gave significant reductions in *A. spica-venti* panicle numbers compared with
untreated (Tables 1, 2). Control of *Apera spica-venti* was satisfactory (95–96%) only in the plots where the herbicides had been applied in the autumn. The performance of the herbicides on *A. spica-venti* in reducing the numbers of flowering panicles was the same in both years.

### Table 1. Numbers of *Apera spica-venti* and winter wheat yields, 2006–2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments, dose per ha</th>
<th>Numbers of <em>Apera spica-venti</em> flowered panicles</th>
<th>Grain yield of winter wheat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>number m(^{-2}) decrease, % kg ha(^{-1}) ± kg ha(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Untreated</td>
<td>190.0 a -</td>
<td>1858 a -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Alister Grande, 0.8 L*</td>
<td>8.3 c 96</td>
<td>5596 c 3738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hussar Activ, 0.8 L **</td>
<td>79.3 b 58</td>
<td>3259 b 1401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hussar Activ, 1.0 L **</td>
<td>68.0 b 64</td>
<td>2587 ab 729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Monitor,0.018 kg + Arrat, 0.2 kg**</td>
<td>46.7 bc 75</td>
<td>3324 b 1466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LSD 5%</strong></td>
<td>58.06 -</td>
<td>1031.4 -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* in autumn 2006 ** in spring 2007

The average yield in the control plots was very low especially in 2007 (only 1858 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), because of the very high infestation of *Apera spica-venti*. Despite the moderate weed control in the herbicide treatments applied in spring, all treatments increased grain yield statistically significantly (Tables 1, 2). The increases given in the treatments where the herbicide had been applied in autumn were significantly higher than all but one of the increases where the herbicides had been applied in spring. The application with herbicides in spring could reduce the infestation of *Apera spica-venti*, but the crop stands were not as dense as in treatments where the herbicide application was made in the autumn. In weather conditions that were favourable for weed germination and development during the vegetative period, new weeds emerged and competed with the winter wheat and gave rise to problems with green weed material at harvest.

### Table 2. Numbers of *Apera spica-venti* and winter wheat yields, 2007–2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments, dose per ha</th>
<th>Numbers of <em>Apera spica-venti</em> flowered panicles</th>
<th>Grain yield of winter wheat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>number m(^{-2}) decrease, % kg ha(^{-1}) ± kg ha(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Untreated</td>
<td>204.0 a -</td>
<td>2606 a -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Alister Grande, 0.8 L*</td>
<td>10.3 c 95</td>
<td>4732 c 2126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hussar Activ, 0.8 L **</td>
<td>88.3 b 57</td>
<td>3576 b 971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hussar Activ, 1.0 L **</td>
<td>84.0 b 59</td>
<td>3858 bc 1252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Monitor,0.018 kg + Arrat, 0.2 kg**</td>
<td>62.0 bc 70</td>
<td>3403 ab 797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LSD 5%</strong></td>
<td>57.90 -</td>
<td>961.2 -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* in autumn 2007; ** in spring 2008

In both experiments there was a strong, significant, negative linear relationship between winter wheat yield and the numbers of *Apera spica-venti* flowered panicles (respectively \( r_{xy} = -0.73, P < 0.001; r_{xy} = -0.64, P < 0.01 \)). The determination coefficients showed that 54% of the variations in the grain yield in 2007 and 41% in 2008 could be explained by the infestation of *Apera spica-venti* in the trial plots.
CONCLUSIONS

1. The application of herbicides in spring could not provide good control of *Apera spica-venti* up to harvest time when the infestation of this weed species at the application time was very high (more than 140 plants per m²).

2. Application of appropriate herbicides in autumn for *Apera spica-venti* control provided satisfactory control up to harvest time in the following year.

3. The winter wheat crop in plots where herbicides were applied in the autumn was more even, denser and better developed than in plots where herbicides were applied in the spring. In the spring-treated plots the crops became thin and in open places, weed plants that were not controlled by the herbicides could regrow and develop well during the growing season up to harvest time.

4. For the best yield results herbicides should be applied in the autumn, especially when the weather is favourable for prolonged development of weeds and the infestations of competitive weed species like *Apera spica-venti* are very high.
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The impact of a farm’s annual cattle slurry yield on the options for moving the slurry from stable to plot: a simulation study
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Abstract. The economical efficacy is substantial on both occasions for feeding plants with nutrients and moving the manure from stables to the plots. The aim of the present research is to explain the limit values for the annual amount of slurry and average plot distance on a farm as conditions to decide in favour of a personal eco-friendly slurry distributor or custom equipment. In their previous researches, the authors have composed models to calculate slurry management costs for different technologies depending on plot distance, taking into account ammonia emissions. In the present study, simulations were made using the composed calculation models to compare slurry distribution costs for four slurry application technologies.

Calculations show that if the annual amount of slurry exceeds 4000 m$^3$, then for plot distance over 2 km, custom slurry distribution is cheaper than using the farm’s own equipment. However, if the annual quantity of slurry exceeds 16,000 m$^3$, then the limit value for distance is 5 km.

If the annual amount of slurry is 4000 m$^3$, then full custom service is cheaper than the technology in which the farm’s own slurry distributor and custom transportation is used. In the case of the annual amount of 16,000 m$^3$, it is less expensive to use the farm’s own slurry distributor and custom transportation. In order to benefit from the use of the farm’s own distributor the minimum value for annual slurry amount is 5600 m$^3$.

Key words: ammonia emissions, slurry application technology, plot distance, performance, operation costs, custom machines, annual slurry amount

INTRODUCTION

On the basis of environmental impacts in agricultural production, the following pollution subdivisions can be distinguished: point pollutants (animal farming, manure storages, etc) and diffuse pollution (e.g., pollution from manure distribution in the fields) (Dämmgen et al., 2007). Leakage of farmyard stores and runoff following slurry application to the land can lead directly to losses of organic matter, nutrients and pathogenic micro-organisms, with potential consequences for both stream ecology and human health (Naden et al., 2009). These diffuse losses have mainly been characterised in terms of nutrients (Vadas et al., 2007).

Ammonia volatilisation can be a major source of N losses from applied slurry (Lewis et al., 2003). Ammonia emission has been studied in several countries. The emission is magnified by higher air temperature during the spreading, wind (Misselbrook et al., 2005), higher pH, content of solid matter and ammonium nitrogen of the slurry (Mattila, 2006), as well as by high soil pH and temperature (Sommer et al., 2003; Misselbrook et al., 2005) and low soil moisture (Jokela & Meisinger, 2008).
Although gas emission, leaching of nutrients and odour have undesirable effects on the environment, the contribution of manure to plant nutrition and build-up of soil organic matter is considered to have a positive effect.

To utilise the nutrients contained in manure and minimise air pollution, it is essential to apply technology suppressing the gas emission from slurry distributed on the field. In the Defra (2006) project, the impact of different spreading devices on the ammonia emission was compared in the UK, Germany, Denmark and Finland. The average values of reduction of ammonia emission compared to technology where slurry was broadcast-spread and not incorporated from that research are as follows: trailing hose 32%, trailing shoe 60%, open slot injection 67%, closed slot injection 82% and deep injection 86%. By IPCC (2007) the ammonia emission factors for different application technologies are the following: 70% for broadcast spreading, without incorporation, 20% for spreading with a trailing hose, 10% for spreading with an open slot shallow injector and 1% for spreading with a closed slot. The effect of the use of slurry depends also on the time-lag between spreading and incorporation. The time-lag depends inter alia on the distance to the manure storage if incorporation is consecutive (one-man system) (Huijsmans and de Mol, 1999). Paudel et al. (2009) determined by a GIS-based model a least-cost dairy manure application distance for Louisiana’s major dairy production area. A comparison between the dairy manure and commercial fertilizer application under three consistent rules – N, P₂O₅, and K₂O – revealed that the use of dairy manure is not economical after 30 km for N and 15 km each for P₂O₅ and K₂O.

Plant nutrient overloads can result from several forms of mismanagement, including over-fertilisation of crops (Gerber et al., 2005). The objective should be to apply slurry to match the needs of the crop both in terms of amount and timing, attempting to minimise nutrient losses while maintaining adequate yields. Nutrient absorption by soil and plants is a complex of factors including soil, climate conditions, season and plant species (Lewis et al., 2003).

In order to decrease excessive application of nutrients, it is not advisable to use more manure than the soil and yield properties allow. The herd size determines proportionally the area needed for distributing the manure produced by animals. However, the larger the areas, the longer are the average manure transportation distances (Tamm, 2009). The farm’s annual slurry quantity and transportation distance as the selection criteria of slurry application technology should be explained. Schindler (2009) has published data for choosing the machines for the slurry delivery chain depending on those criteria in average production conditions of Germany with labour cost 16 € ha⁻¹ and fuel price 1.45 € l⁻¹. In Estonia these values are 3.8 € ha⁻¹ and 0.58 € l⁻¹, respectively. Thus, the German data are not applicable to Estonia and no literature is available with similar data for Estonia. The equipment for slurry application can be the farm’s own or rented from a service provider. There are no data published about a farm’s annual slurry quantity as a decision criterion to choose one’s own or custom machinery.

Therefore, the present paper compares slurry distribution costs considering a farm’s annual slurry quantity and average transportation distance in the case of four technological approaches for average Estonian production conditions:

1) incorporating disc device – the slurry is simultaneously distributed and mixed with soil;
2) incorporating disc device as in variant no. 1, but the slurry is transported to the
3) slurry spreading by trailing hose spreader plus a separate operation to incorporate the slurry to the soil; and
4) custom slurry distribution: slurry is transported by tank trucks to the plot and distributed with a self-propelling and incorporating slurry distributor.

The results from this study are considered to be targeted for slurry producers, to enhance their knowledge of the impact of the farm’s annual slurry quantity and plot distance on the technological options.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In calculations, it was presumed that manure comes from the farm’s own production and the only costs arise from transportation and distribution. The calculation model is composed by the authors and has been previously published (Tamm & Vettik, 2008). The model contains components from the method, applied to evaluate options for exploitation of a plot considering costs depending on plot distance (Tamm, 2009). The prices of fuel and custom works used in calculations are from summer 2009. The prices of machines are collected from KTBL (2008).

Four simulated cases for slurry handling have been studied. A description of the technological sequence for slurry handling is as follows:

1) mixing – pumping from storage into the distributor tank – transporting with distributor to the plot – distribution and mixing with soil simultaneously;
2) mixing – pumping from storage into the custom truck tank – transporting with truck to the plot – pumping from the truck tank into the distributor tank – distribution and mixing with soil simultaneously;
3) mixing – pumping from storage into the distributor tank – transporting with distributor to the plot – distribution onto the soil with trailing hoses – separate operation to incorporate the slurry to the soil; and
4) mixing – pumping from storage into the custom truck tank – transporting with truck to the plot – pumping from truck tank into the custom distributor tank – the custom distributor tank distributes and mixes slurry with soil simultaneously.

Before slurry transportation and its distribution for slurry mixing and pumping 15 kW electrical device with performance 4.5 m³ min⁻¹ (price is 4605 €) is applied. From the observations of ERIA researchers, the slurry should be mixed the entire time the distribution lasts. On the plot, the distributor’s own pump is used for over-pumping.

In all technological variants the distributor has a tank with 15 m³ volume, fuel price is 0.58 € l⁻¹ and labour cost is 3.8 € h⁻¹. The distributor used in variants 1 and 2 is equipped with a 4.5 m wide disc device (price of distributor is 52,560 €); tractor power is 158 kW (price is 102,560 €). The distributor used in variant 3 is equipped with a 12 m wide trailing hose spreader (price for whole system is 42,200 €) and the tractor engine power is 102 kW (price is 76,730 €). In variant 4, a custom self-propelled distributor equipped with a 4.5 m wide disc device is used with the engine power of 246 kW. The price of custom work with this distributor is 2.2 € m⁻³.

If custom work is used only for transportation of the slurry to the field (variants 2 and 4), then the tanker lorry with initial cost 1.3 € m⁻³ is rented. If the distance exceeds 7 km, then 0.07 € m⁻³ per every extra km must be added to the initial cost.
In the 3rd technological variant a field-operation-unit containing a 158 kW tractor and a 4 m wide disk harrow (price is 31,950 €) to mix slurry with soil is used. The time span between slurry distribution and mixing with soil may not exceed 4 h.

Ammonia emission factors used for technologies are as follows: 20% for spreading with a trailing hose (variant 3) and 5% for incorporating the disc device (as the average value between values for spreading with an open slot shallow injector and for spreading with a closed slot) (variants 1, 2 and 4) (IPPC, 2007).

The annual work capacity for the spreader is 4000 m³ and 16,000 m³. The slurry rate was 40 m³ ha⁻¹ and the plot area was 20 ha for all technological variants. The operations are considered to be performed before the cereal is sown.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Simulations were made using composed calculation models to compare slurry distribution costs for four slurry application technologies considering the farm’s annual slurry quantity and distance to the plot. The results for technological variant 1 (farm’s own soil mixing disc device) and 4 (custom slurry distributor) are shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 indicates that if the annual quantity of slurry exceeds 4000 m³, then for a plot distance over 2 km, custom slurry distribution is cheaper than the use of the farm’s own equipment. Slurry management costs for 2 km and 4000 m³ is 3.5 € m⁻³ both in the case of variant 1 and 4. However, if the annual quantity of slurry exceeds 16,000 m³, then the limit value for distance is 5 km. For variant 1, slurry management costs for 5 km distance are 4.7 € m⁻³ and 3.5 € m⁻³ for annual slurry amounts 4000 m³ and 16,000 m³, correspondingly. The greater the annual amount of slurry, the cheaper is management of the slurry per m³; Huijsmans et al. (2004) got similar results. However, a greater amount of slurry needs a larger distribution area, which requires a longer distance and a greater cost for slurry transportation.

Dr. Schindler (2009) has published data for a slurry distributor with a 16 m³ tank and a 6 m wide slot injector. If the distance to the plot is 2 km, the plot area is 10 ha, and the farm’s annual quantity of slurry is 4,800 m³, then the slurry distribution cost is 4.85 € m⁻³. For 5 km, this cost is 6.43 € m⁻³. The higher costs brought out by Schindler compared to our figures are probably induced by a more expensive distributor (it is wider and has a somewhat bigger tank, requiring a more powerful tractor), higher labour cost and fuel price.

The calculations show that distribution is cheaper (ca 0.64 € m⁻³) in the case of the trailing hose spreader (variant No. 3), because of the greater work width and cheaper machine price; Huijsmans et al. (2004) and Schindler (2009) had analogous results. Considering the impact of the art of distribution of slurry on the loss of nitrogen by ammonia emission it is essential to incorporate slurry into the soil on arable land. The slurry incorporation performed for diminishing the ammonia emission is a separate operation with a cost of ca 25.6 € ha⁻¹. This result is the same as by using an incorporating spreader and, therefore, the results are not presented separately in the figure.

If the slurry distributor is used for slurry distribution only, then the custom tank lorry is used for transporting the slurry to the plot (variants 2 and 4); results are presented in Fig. 2. The eco-friendly slurry application equipment is expensive; therefore, it is most effective to use these machines for distribution, rather than for the
transportation of slurry (Tamm, 2009). Thus, the separate vehicles with slurry tanks should be used to transport the slurry to the plot especially for longer distances. In Estonian conditions the maximum distance for transporting the slurry by distributor itself to the plot is about 4 km (Tamm & Vettik, 2008).

Figure 1. Slurry distribution costs in the case of farm’s own distributor and using custom machines.

Figure 2. Slurry distribution costs if custom tank lorry is used for transportation and spreading is performed by farm’s own distributor or custom distributor.

Figure 2 demonstrates that full custom service (variant 4) will be cheaper than the farms’ own slurry distributor and custom transportation (variant 2), if the annual amount of slurry is 4000 m³. If the annual amount is 16,000 m³, then it will be less expensive to use the farm’s own slurry distributor and custom transportation. For variant 2, slurry management costs for 5 km distance are 4.0 € m⁻³ and 2.8 € m⁻³ for annual slurry amounts of 4000 m³ and 16,000 m³, correspondingly. In order to benefit from the use of the farm’s own distributor the minimum value for annual slurry amount is 5600 m³ by our calculations. Sørensen et al. (2003) report that use of distributors with a large tank volume is rational when the annual slurry amount exceeds 9000 t. If that amount remains under 3000 t, it is not at all profitable to own a distributor; the custom distribution is cheaper.

CONCLUSIONS

Before investing in eco-friendly but expensive slurry distribution technology, the farmer has to calculate whether his farm has enough slurry to ensure a lower work price than custom service. The calculations show that, in the conditions used in our simulations, the minimum value for annual slurry amount is 5600 m³ to own a distributor. We also found that the distribution cost in the case of a trailing hose spreader with an extra operation for soil mixing is equal to the distribution cost of incorporating a disc distributor. In the first case the additional time and labour should be taken into account for the soil-mixing operation. The ammonium emission is also somewhat higher than for other technologies compared in the present study. For longer distances to the plot, the farmer should consider hiring a custom tank lorry for slurry transportation, and the farm’s own distributor should be used only for distribution on the plot.
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